
Constraints on the equivalence principle provided by recent LLR data analysis

Léo Bernus^{*1}, Vishnu Viswanathan^{*2}, Jacques Laskar³, Agnes Fienga⁴, Olivier Minazzoli⁵, and Mickaël Gastineau⁶

¹Institut de Mécanique Céleste et de Calcul des Ephémérides – Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6, Institut national des sciences de l'Université, Observatoire de Paris, Université de Lille, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique : UMR8028, Institut national des sciences de l'Université – 77 av Denfert-Rochereau 75014 Paris, France

²Institut de Mécanique Céleste et de Calcul des Ephémérides – Observatoire de Paris – France

³IMCCE (IMCCE) – CNRS : UMR8028 – 77 Av Denfert-Rochereau, France

⁴Institut de Mécanique Céleste et de Calcul des Ephémérides – Observatoire de Paris, Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, IRD, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, Géoazur – France

⁵Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur – Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique : UMS2202 – France

⁶Institut de Mécanique Céleste et de Calcul des Ephémérides – Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6, Institut national des sciences de l'Université, Observatoire de Paris, Université de Lille, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique : UMR8028, Institut national des sciences de l'Université – France

Résumé

Abstract

We present a new constraint on the violation of the equivalence principle provided by a recent

Lunar Laser Ranging (LLR) data analysis. First we present quickly an overview of the ephemeris

INPOP17a [1]. Then we describe how to test the universality of free fall (UFF) with LLR data,

in particular the parameters that are sensitive to LLR data. The quantitative result is exposed:

we find no violation of the UFF at the $(-3.8 \pm 7.1) \times 10^{-14}$ level [2]. A new theoretical interpretation of this result is given, in terms of dilaton theory. Finally, we compare this constraint to

MICROSCOPE experiment's result [3]. The LLR result about UFF is shown to be qualitatively

different from MICROSCOPE's. Indeed, MICROSCOPE experiment can test the weak equivalence

principle, whereas LLR data can test the strong equivalence principle, so even if LLR constraint is

quantitatively not as good as MICROSCOPE's, it remains interesting. References

V. Viswanathan, A. Fienga, M. Gastineau, and J. Laskar. INPOP17a planetary ephemerides.

*Intervenant

Notes Scientifiques et Techniques de l'Institut de Mecanique Celeste, 108, August 2017.

V Viswanathan, A Fienga, O Minazzoli, L Bernus, J Laskar, and M Gastineau. The new lunar ephemeris inpop17a and its application to fundamental physics. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 476(2):1877–1888, 2018.

P. Touboul, G. Métris, et al. MICROSCOPE Mission: First Results of a Space Test of the Equivalence Principle. Physical Review Letters, 119(23):231101, December 2017.